

United States District Court  
for the

Middle district of Pennsylvania  
FILED  
SCRANTON.

ULLOA

V.

# FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

卷之三

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:15-CV-00182

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR DEFAULT.

SEP 28 2015

~~DEPUTY CLERK~~

3:15-~~ER~~

# SUPPORT

- 1 -

PAUL

Richard-Enrique Ulloa is not represented  
and comes before this court as a  
proper person, sui iuris, and not  
in pro se standing.

I NEVER SUBMITTED TO THIS OR ANY COURT  
A 28 U.S.C. § 2255 REQUEST.

I NEVER SUBMITTED ANY § 2255'S TO  
THE SECOND DISTRICT OR APPEALS COURTS.

THE Clerk of THIS court has been THE  
ONLY PERSON OR ENTITY SUBMITTING PAPERS  
TO THE SECOND DISTRICT AND SECOND  
CIRCUIT WITHOUT CONSENT OR PERMISSION  
FROM ME, IN WRITING OR OTHERWISE.

AFFIDAVIT FOR DEFAULT

THE COURT CLERK OF THIS COURT IS  
IN VIOLATION OF THE CASTRO DOCTRINE.

Respondent did NOT ADDRESS OR REBUTT  
MY ARGUMENTS IN BLACK, THEREBY  
LEAVING MY ARGUMENTS AS FACT AND  
TRUTH.

Respondent did NOT ADDRESS OR REBUTT  
MY ARGUMENTS IN SKILLING, THEREBY LEAVING  
MY ARGUMENTS AS FACT AND TRUTH.

Respondent did NOT ADDRESS OR REBUTT  
MY GINSBERG ARGUMENT ON THE  
FEDERAL BAR OR § 1341 ETC.

Respondent did NOT contest, ADDRESS,  
DENY OR REBUTT MY CLAIM OF ISSUING  
AUTHORITY IN McNALLY V US 483 US 350  
(1987), THEREBY LEAVING PETITIONERS  
ARGUMENT AS TRUTH.

Respondent did NOT contest, ADDRESS, deny  
OR REBUT MY CLAIMS AS PER BLACK V US  
561 US Sc. (2010), SKILLING V. US 561  
Sc. (2010) (2013)

AFFIDAVIT FOR DEFENDANT

Respondent did not address, contest, deny or rebut my claims brought forth in Preston, PANARELLA, CLARK v. Anderson, or any argument based in law and fact.

Nothing in Petitioners /AFFIDAVIT /Petition has been contested, rebutted, addressed, denied, leaving Petitioners arguments AS FACT in Law, and leaves Respondent IMPUTANT AND ESTOPPELED TO ARGUE ANY FURTHER.

ALL ABOVE STATEMENTS ARE TRUE, SO  
HELP ME GOD. )

Respectfully submitted  


DATED 9/28/2015

Richard ENRIQUE ULLON  
PRO PER  
SUI JURIS